Implementation Statement, covering the Scheme Year from 6 April 2023 to 5 April 2024 (the "Scheme Year")

The Trustee of the Peel Ports Final Salary Pension Scheme (the "Scheme") is required to produce a yearly statement to set out how, and the extent to which, the Trustee has followed the voting and engagement policies in its Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP") during the Scheme Year. This is provided in Section 1 below.

The Statement is also required to include a description of the voting behaviour during the Scheme Year by, and on behalf of, Trustees (including the most significant votes cast by Trustees or on their behalf) and state any use of the services of a proxy voter during that year. This is provided in Section 3 below.

In preparing the Statement, the Trustee has had regard to the <u>guidance</u> on Reporting on Stewardship and Other Topics through the Statement of Investment Principles and the Implementation Statement, issued by the Department for Work and Pensions ("DWP's guidance") in June 2022.

1. Introduction

No changes were made to the voting and engagement policies in the SIP during the Scheme Year. The Trustee has, in its opinion, followed the Scheme's voting and engagement policies during the Scheme Year.

2. Voting and engagement

The Trustee has delegated to the investment managers the exercise of rights attaching to investments, including voting rights, and engagement. The manager voting policies can be found using the following link:

 LGIM: <u>UK Corporate Governance and Responsible Investment Principles policy document</u> (issgovernance.com)

However, the Trustee takes ownership of the Scheme's stewardship by monitoring and engaging with managers as detailed below.

As part of its advice on the selection and ongoing review of the investment managers, the Scheme's investment adviser, LCP, incorporates its assessment of the nature and effectiveness of managers' approaches to voting and engagement. It also assesses their approaches to financially material considerations (including climate change and other ESG considerations).

Following the introduction of DWP's guidance, the Trustee agreed to set stewardship priorities to focus monitoring and engagement with their investment managers on specific ESG factors. In December 2022, the Trustee agreed to select the following stewardship priorities for the Scheme: Climate change; Diversity, Equity and Inclusion; and Remuneration. These priorities were selected as good stewardship can improve long-term financial outcomes for the Scheme's members.

The Trustee is conscious that responsible investment, including voting and engagement, is rapidly evolving and therefore expects most managers will have areas where they could improve. Therefore, the Trustee aims to have an ongoing dialogue with managers to clarify expectations and encourage improvements.

3. Description of voting behaviour during the Scheme Year

All of the Trustee's holdings in listed equities are within pooled funds and the Trustee has delegated to its investment managers the exercise of voting rights. Therefore, the Trustee is not able to direct how votes are exercised and the Trustee itself has not used proxy voting services over the Scheme Year. However, the Trustee monitors managers' voting and engagement behaviour on an annual basis and will challenge managers where their activity has not been in line with the Trustee's expectations.

In this section we have sought to include voting data in line with the Pensions and Lifetime Savings Association (PLSA) guidance, PLSA Vote Reporting template and DWP's guidance, on the Scheme's funds that hold equities as follows:

LGIM All World Equity Index Fund (covering both the standard and GBP hedged versions of the fund)

In addition to the above, the Trustee contacted the Scheme's asset managers that do not hold listed equities, to ask if any of the assets held by the Scheme had voting opportunities over the Scheme Year. None of the other funds that the Scheme invested in over the Scheme Year held any assets with voting opportunities.

3.1 Description of the voting processes

For assets with voting rights, the Trustee relies on the voting policies which its managers have in place. The Trustee reviewed these policies in June 2023, focusing on the elements which relate to its stewardship priorities, and is comfortable that the policies are aligned with the Trustee's views.

LGIM's voting process

LGIM's voting and engagement activities are driven by ESG professionals and its assessment of the requirements in these areas seeks to achieve the best outcome for all our clients. LGIM's voting policies are reviewed annually and take into account feedback from its clients.

Every year, LGIM holds a stakeholder roundtable event where clients and other stakeholders (civil society, academia, the private sector and fellow investors) are invited to express their views directly to the members of the Investment Stewardship team. The views expressed by attendees during this event form a key consideration as LGIM continues to develop its voting and engagement policies and define strategic priorities in the years ahead. It also takes into account client feedback received at regular meetings and/ or ad-hoc comments or enquiries.

All decisions are made by LGIM's Investment Stewardship team and in accordance with our relevant Corporate Governance & Responsible Investment and Conflicts of Interest policy documents which are reviewed annually. Each member of the team is allocated a specific sector globally so that the voting is undertaken by the same individuals who engage with the relevant company. This ensures the stewardship approach flows smoothly throughout the engagement and voting process and that engagement is fully integrated into the vote decision process, therefore sending consistent messaging to companies.

LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and it does not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. LGIM's use of ISS recommendations is purely to augment its own research and proprietary ESG assessment tools. The Investment Stewardship team also uses the research reports of Institutional Voting Information Services (IVIS) to supplement the research reports that it receives from ISS for UK companies when making specific voting decisions.

To ensure its proxy provider votes in accordance with its position on ESG, LGIM has put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions. These instructions apply to all markets globally and seek to uphold what it considers are minimum best practice standards which it believes all companies globally should observe, irrespective of local regulation or practice.

LGIM retains the ability in all markets to override any vote decisions, which are based on its custom voting policy. This may happen where engagement with a specific company has provided additional information (for example from direct engagement, or explanation in the annual report) that allows LGIM to apply a qualitative overlay to its voting judgement. It has strict monitoring controls to ensure its votes are fully and effectively executed in accordance with its voting policies by its service provider. This includes a regular manual check of the votes input into the platform, and an electronic alert service to inform LGIM of rejected votes which require further action.

LGIM has its own internal Risk Management System (RMS) to provide effective oversight of key processes. This includes LGIM's voting activities and related client reporting. If an item is not confirmed as completed on RMS, the issue is escalated to line managers and senior directors within the organisation. On a weekly basis, senior members of the Investment Stewardship team confirm on LGIM's internal RMS that votes have been cast correctly on the voting platform and record any issues experienced. This is then reviewed by the Director of Investment Stewardship who confirms the votes have been cast correctly on a monthly basis.

3.2 Summary of voting behaviour

A summary of voting behaviour over the Scheme Year is provided in the table below.

	LGIM All World Equity Index Fund
Total size of fund at end of the Scheme Year	£4.5bn (standard) £1.7bn (GBP hedged)
Value of Scheme assets at end of the Scheme Year (£ / % of total assets)	£12.6m / 4.6% (standard) £12.8m / 4.7% (GBP hedged)
Number of equity holdings at end of the Scheme Year	4,273
Number of meetings eligible to vote	6,557
Number of resolutions eligible to vote	64,058
% of resolutions voted	99.9%
Of the resolutions on which voted, % voted with management	79.3%
Of the resolutions on which voted, % voted against management	20.2%
Of the resolutions on which voted, % abstained from voting	0.5%
Of the meetings in which the manager voted, % with at least one vote against management	63.5%
Of the resolutions on which the manager voted, % voted contrary to recommendation of proxy advisor	11.4%

3.3 Most significant votes

Commentary on the most significant votes over the Scheme Year, from the Scheme's asset managers who hold listed equities, is set out below.

The Trustee did not inform its managers which votes it considered to be most significant in advance of those votes. The Trustee will consider the practicalities of informing managers ahead of the vote and will report on it in future.

Given the large number of votes which are cast by managers during every Annual General Meeting season, the timescales over which voting takes place as well as the resource requirements necessary to allow this, the Trustee did not identify significant voting ahead of the reporting period. Instead, the Trustee has retrospectively created a shortlist of most significant votes by requesting each manager provide a shortlist of votes, which comprises a minimum of ten most significant votes, and suggested the managers could use the PLSA's criteria for creating this shortlist. By informing its managers of its stewardship priorities, the Trustee believes that its managers will understand how it expects them to vote on issues for the companies they invest in on its behalf.

The Trustee has interpreted "significant votes" to mean those that align with the Trustee's chosen stewardship priorities: Climate change; Diversity, Equity & Inclusion; and Remuneration.

The Trustee has reported on two of these significant votes per fund as the most significant votes. If members wish to obtain more investment manager voting information, this is available upon request from the Trustee.

LGIM All World Equity Index Fund

Amazon.com, Inc. (May 2023)

- Summary of resolution: Report on Median and Adjusted Gender/Racial Pay Gaps
- Relevant stewardship priority: Diversity, Equity and Inclusion
- Approximate size of the holding at the date of the vote: 1.5%
- Why this vote is considered to be the most significant: The resolution relates to stewardship priorities.

- Company management recommendation: Against Fund manager vote: For
- Rationale: LGIM voted in favour of the report as it expects companies to disclose meaningful information on its gender pay gap and the initiatives it is applying to close any stated gap. It believes it is an important disclosure so that investors can assess the progress of the company's diversity and inclusion initiatives. Board diversity is an engagement and voting issue, as LGIM believes cognitive diversity in business the bringing together of people of different ages, experiences, genders, ethnicities, sexual orientations, and social and economic backgrounds is a crucial step towards building a better company, economy and society.
- Was the vote communicated to the company ahead of the vote: LGIM pre-declared its vote intention
 for this meeting on the LGIM Blog. As part of this process, a communication was set to the company ahead
 of the meeting.
- Outcome of the vote: Fail. LGIM will continue to engage with the company and monitor progress.

JPMorgan Chase & Co. (May 2023)

- Summary of resolution: Report on Climate Transition Plan Describing Efforts to Align Financing Activities with GHG Targets
- Relevant stewardship priority: Climate Change
- Approximate size of the holding at the date of the vote: 0.7%
- Why this vote is considered to be the most significant: The resolution relates to stewardship priorities.
- Company management recommendation: Against Fund manager vote: For
- Rationale: LGIM generally support resolutions that seek additional disclosures on how companies aim to
 manage their financing activities in line with their published targets. LGIM believes detailed information on
 how a company intends to achieve the 2030 targets they have set and published to the market (the 'how'
 rather than the 'what', including activities and timelines) can further focus the board's attention on the steps
 and timeframe involved and provides assurance to stakeholders. The onus remains on the board to
 determine the activities and policies required to fulfil their own ambitions, rather than investors imposing
 restrictions on the company.
- Was the vote communicated to the company ahead of the vote: LGIM pre-declared its vote intention
 for this meeting on the LGIM Blog. As part of this process, a communication was set to the company ahead
 of the meeting.
- Outcome of the vote: Fail. LGIM will continue to engage with the company and monitor progress.